and HENRY A. NILSON ("Debtors") and proposed special appellate counsel in the pending matters identified herein.

27

28

12038763.1 Case No. 23-03619-CL11

- - 12038763.1

- 2. I make this declaration based upon my own personal knowledge and if called upon as a witness I could, and would, testify competently to the contents of this declaration.
- 3. I am an experienced appellate attorney and have been practicing exclusively in the area of civil writs and appeal continuously since about 1984, three years after I began practice at Higgs Fletcher & Mack in 1981. I have handled hundreds of appeals as lead appellate counsel in state courts throughout California, and have handled dozens of appeal as lead appellate counsel in the Ninth Circit Court of Appeals. I am the head of the Appellate Department at Higgs Fletcher & Mack and am an active member of the San Diego Appellate Inn of Court.
- 4. The specific nonbankruptcy action for which Movants seek relief from stay is identified in the Summary of Litigation Chart attached to the Motion as **Exhibit A** and is identified as:

CASE TITLE CASE NO.:	NATURE OF CASE	COURT/ AGENCY	STATUS OF CASE	UPCOMING DEADLINES/ HEARINGS
Nilson v. Rothfleisch APPEAL NO. D081715	Appeal of Phase 1 Statement of Decision	4 th Appellate District Division 1	Filed 9/28/2023. This appeal is pending. The record has been completed, but there is also pending in the Ninth Circuit a motion to <i>consolidate</i> this appeal with the "second" appeal (on the elder abuse issues).	Pending Motion to Consolidate filed 11/17/2023

5. In the above-described nonbankruptcy action, the parties are identified in the Summary of Litigation; Relief from Stay "Cause" Chart attached to the Moton as **Exhibit B**.

Case No. 23-03619-CL11

- 6. Debtors' filed their voluntary Chapter 11 case so as to avoid a dismantling of their Chapter 11 Estate while appealing the adverse rulings and that the same time establishing the liability of the other co-conspirators as a source of funding a plan of reorganization and/or reimbursement post-confirmation.
- 7. As a result, in order for Debtors to successfully reorganize in Chapter 11, it is of vital importance for Debtors to continue with the Appeal of the Phase 1 Statement of Decision because the appeal will determine whether Ryan established at trial, by clear and convincing evidence, that Henry and Barbara used undue influence to coerce Louise to sign the Sixth Amendment to the Trust. *See* Exhibit B.
- 8. Moreover, success on the appeal would mean that Ryan failed to prove, by clear and convincing evidence, that Henry and Barbara used undue influence to coerce Louise to sign the Sixth Amendment to the Trust which would invalidate the finding of financial elder abuse and necessarily require vacating the judgment arising out of the Phase Two Statement of Decision.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on 2nd day of January 2024, at San Diego, California.

John Morris

JOHN M. MORKIS